

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/288,589

Applicant(s)

SOCCI ET AL.

Examiner

Adrienne C. Johnstone

Art Unit

1733

All Participants:

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(2) Richard Roberts.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed an amendment which would place the application in condition for allowance (copy faxed to applicants 01 April 2004); applicants substantially agreed, but suggested changes to claim 7 in order to eliminate remaining antecedent basis problems and to claim 15 to avoid duplicating claim 31 (see Examiner's Amendment).